

Classification of L -functions of degree 2 and conductor 1

(joint with J.Kaczorowski)

ELAZ 2022

Poznań - August 22-26, 2022

- **Framework:** *Extended S-class* \mathcal{S}^\sharp :

- Dirichlet series for $\sigma > 1$,
- general funct. eq. with multiple Γ -factors;
(more details later on)

S-class \mathcal{S} : $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ with

- general Euler product,
- Ramanujan conj.

- **What do S-classes contain ?** Difficult problem; *general expectation*:

- $d \notin \mathbb{N} \rightarrow$ no functions of **degree** d in \mathcal{S}^\sharp ,
- $d \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{F \in \mathcal{S} \text{ of degree } d\}$
 $= \{\text{automorphic } L\text{-functs. of degree } d\}$,
- $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ of degree $d \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow ???$

\mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}^\sharp known for degree $d < 2$ (Conrey-Ghosh 1993, Kac.-Per. 1999-2011), confirming expectation and describing $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ with $d = 0$ (*suitable D-polyn.*) and $d = 1$ (*suitable lin. comb. of $L(s, \chi)$'s*).

First open case $d = 2$; here expect:

- $F \in \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L$ -funct. of Hecke or Maass eigenforms of any level;
- $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp \rightarrow ???$ (Hecke's "triangle forms"?).

• **Degree $d = 2$ and conductor $q = 1$.** General case $d = 2$ apparently very difficult. Next important invariant after degree is **conductor q** (e.g. $q = \text{level}$ for modular L); if $q = 1$ nice phenomenon happens allowing complete description.

Classification by *new invariant* χ_F (**eigenweight**) and requires *normalization* of F to fit “modular” framework. However:

- every F with $d = 2$ and $q = 1$ can be normalized in a simple way (vertical shift + divide by first coeff. $\neq 0$);

- χ_F easy to compute from data of F .

For example:

$$\chi_F = 0 \implies F = \zeta^2, \quad \chi_F = \frac{121}{2} \implies F = L_\Delta$$

• **Theorem.** Let $F \in S^\sharp$ with $d = 2$, $q = 1$ and normalized. Then $\chi_F \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$\chi_F > 0 \implies F = L_f$, with f Hecke cusp form of level 1 and even integral weight $k = 1 + \sqrt{2\chi_F}$;

$\chi_F = 0 \implies F = \zeta^2$;

$\chi_F < 0 \implies F = L_u$, with u Maass form of level 1, weight 0 and eigenvalue $1/4 + \kappa^2 = (1 - 2\chi_F)/4$.

When $\chi_F < 0$, parity of u is $\varepsilon = \frac{1 - \omega_F}{2}$, $\omega_F =$ **root number** of F .

Theorem confirms expectation (linear independence in S).

• **Some definitions and properties.**

Class \mathcal{S}^\sharp and invariants:

$$\gamma(s)F(s) = \omega \overline{\gamma(1 - \bar{s})} \overline{F(1 - \bar{s})}, \quad |\omega| = 1,$$

$$\gamma(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j);$$

γ -factor $\gamma(s)$ has $Q > 0$, $\lambda_j > 0$, $\Re(\mu_j) \geq 0$.

$$d = 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j, \quad q = (2\pi)^d Q^2 \prod_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^{2\lambda_j},$$

$$\omega_F = \omega \prod_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^{-2i\Im\mu_j}, \quad H(n) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{B_n(\mu_j)}{\lambda_j^{n-1}}$$

H-invariants ($H(0) = d$), eigenweight:

$$\chi_F = H(1) + H(2) + 2/3 \quad (\text{easy to comp.}). \quad (1)$$

For normalized F with $d = 2$ and $q = 1$:

- **invariant form of funct. eq.** (Γ -reflection formula + real D-coefficients):

$$F(s) = S_F(s)h_F(s)F(1 - s) \quad (2)$$

$$S_F(s) := 2^r \prod_{j=1}^r \sin(\lambda_j s + \mu_j) = \sum_{j=0}^N a_j e^{i\pi\omega_j s}$$

$$(a_j \neq 0, -1 = \omega_0 < \dots < \omega_N = 1, \omega_j = -\omega_{N-j})$$

$$h_F(s) \approx \frac{\omega_F}{\sqrt{2\pi}} (4\pi)^{2s-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} d_\ell \Gamma(2(s_\ell - s)) \quad (3)$$

\approx asympt. exp., where d_ℓ **structural invariants** (complicated recursive def., $d_0 = 1$) and $s_\ell = 3/4 - \ell/2$; $h_F(s)$ and $S_F(s)$ are *invariants*;

- **standard twist**:

$$F(s, \alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s} e(-\alpha\sqrt{n}), \quad \alpha > 0, e(x) = e^{2\pi i x},$$

$\text{Spec}(F) = \{2\sqrt{m} : m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } a(m) \neq 0\}$;
 $\alpha \in \text{Spec}(F) \Rightarrow F(s, \alpha)$ has at most simple poles at $s = s_\ell$ ($\ell \geq 0$) with residue

$$\rho_\ell(\alpha) = d_\ell \frac{e^{i\pi/4} \overline{a(\alpha^2/4)}}{(-2\pi i)^\ell \alpha^{\ell+1/2}} \quad (\rho_0(\alpha) \neq 0). \quad (4)$$

REMARK. Conj. by Kac.-Per. (2002):

funct. eq. of $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ of degree d is determined by q , ω_F and $H(n)$ with $n \leq d$.

Theorem confirms this when $d = 2$ and $q = 1$, in view of definition of χ_F in (1)

• **Basic ideas of proof.** Four steps.

1. Transformation formula and invariants.

Structural invariants d_ℓ appear in:

- funct. eq. of F , see (2) and (3)
- residues of standard twist, see (4).

But: special form of transformation formula for standard twists when F normalized with $d = 2$ and $q = 1 \implies$ every d_ℓ ($\ell \geq 2$) determined by d_1 by algorithm independent of F .

So $d_1 \rightarrow h_F(s)/\omega_F$, and computation shows that

$$\chi_F = d_1 + \frac{1}{8} \in \mathbb{R}; \quad (5)$$

hence:

value of χ_F determines $h_F(s)/\omega_F$.

2. Virtual γ -factors.

Virtual γ -factors of Hecke and Maass type:

$$(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s + \mu) \quad \mu > 0$$

$$\pi^{-s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s + \varepsilon + i\kappa}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s + \varepsilon - i\kappa}{2}\right) \quad \varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}, \kappa \geq 0.$$

Although such $\gamma(s)$ not always associated with L -function, their struct. invariants d_ℓ have *same formal properties* as in S^\sharp ($d_1 \rightarrow d_\ell$). Moreover

$$\chi_\gamma = \begin{cases} 2\mu^2 \\ -2\kappa^2, \end{cases}$$

hence $\{\chi_\gamma : \gamma(s) \text{ virtual } \gamma\text{-factor}\} = \mathbb{R}$. Thus to $F \in \mathcal{S}^\#$ associate virtual γ -factor $\gamma(s)$ such that

$$\chi_\gamma = \chi_F.$$

Therefore $h_F(s) = \omega_F h_\gamma(s)$, hence by (2) funct. eq. of F becomes

$$\gamma(s)F(s) = \omega_F R(s)\gamma(1-s)F(1-s), \quad (6)$$

$$R(s) = \frac{S_F(s)}{S_\gamma(s)} \quad (\text{satisfying } R(s)R(1-s) = 1).$$

REMARK. If $R(s) = \text{const.}$, then Theorem follows from classical converse theorems of Hecke and Maass. Moreover

$$R(s) \neq \text{const.} \implies N \geq 3 \text{ and } \omega_{N-1} > 0. \quad (7)$$

3. Period functions.

Proving that $R(s) = \text{const.}$ quite involved. Based on study of associated “modular form”

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)n^\lambda e(nz) \quad z \in \mathbb{H}, \quad \lambda = \begin{cases} \mu & (\text{H-case}) \\ i\kappa & (\text{M-case}) \end{cases}$$

and **period function** (Lewis-Zagier 2001)

$$\psi(z) = f(z) - z^{-2\lambda-1} f(-1/z).$$

By study of $f(z)$ get:

i) $\psi(z)$ holomorphic for $-\rho\pi < \arg(z) < \pi$ ($\rho > 0$),

ii) $\psi(z) = Q(z) + \text{holom. for } |\arg(z)| < \pi$ (8)

with certain integral $Q(z)$,

iii) $\psi(z) = \psi(z+1) + (z+1)^{-2\mu-1} \psi\left(\frac{z}{z+1}\right)$

(three-term funct. eq.).

Hence by i)–iii) get

$\psi(z)$ and $Q(z)$ holom. for $-\pi < \arg(z) < \pi$. (9)

REMARK. Maass case analogous to Lewis-Zagier; Hecke case more delicate, involving use of special functions.

4. Conclusion of proof. By contradiction, assuming $R(s) \neq \text{const}$. Then:

- using (7), integral $Q(z)$ in (8) transformed to (roughly)

$f(z) + \text{holom. for } -\rho'\pi < \arg(z) < \pi$ ($\rho' > 0$),

- hence (9) \implies

$f(z)$ holomorphic for $-\rho'\pi < \arg(z) < \pi$.

But $f(z)$ is 1-periodic, so $f(z)$ entire and hence $f \equiv 0$, contradiction.

• **Some details for step 1.**

General transf. formula for nonlinear twists:

$$\begin{aligned} F(s; f) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^s} e(-f(n, \alpha)) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^J W_j(s, \alpha) \bar{F}(s^* + \eta_j; f^*) + \text{holom.}, \end{aligned}$$

f - nonlinear twist, $f(n, \alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^M \alpha_j n^{\kappa_j}$

f^* - its *dual* twist,

W_j - holom., $W_0(s) \neq 0$,

s^* - certain linear funct. of s ,

η_j - shifts with $0 = \eta_0 < \eta_1 < \dots < \eta_J$.

In general *no analytic info* on $F(s; f)$ and $F(s; f^*)$,
but if $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ normalized with $d = 2$, $q = 1$,

$\alpha \in \text{Spec}(F)$ and choosing

$$f(n, \alpha) = n + \alpha \sqrt{n} \quad (\text{thus } F(s; f) = F(s, \alpha)),$$

then transf. formula simplifies to

$$F(s, \alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^J W_j(s, \alpha) F(s + j/2, \alpha) + \text{holom.}, \quad (10)$$

W_j explicit (complicated) polyn. involving structural invariants d_ℓ , $W_0 \equiv 1$.

From (10) obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^J W_j(s, \alpha) F(s + j/2, \alpha) = \text{holom.},$$

so, computing residues, by (4) get: $\forall N \geq 2 \exists$

$$Q_N(X_0, \dots, X_N) = \sum_{\ell, h \geq 0, \ell+h \leq N} \alpha_{\ell, h} X_\ell X_h$$

with $\alpha_{\ell, h} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha_{0, N} + \alpha_{N, 0} = 1$ s.t. for every F

$$Q_N(d_0, \dots, d_N) = 0. \quad (11)$$

From shape of (11) get: d_1 determines all d_ℓ with $\ell \geq 2$, hence by (5) so does χ_F .

REMARK. We believe similar phenomenon holds in general, i.e.: *invariants d_ℓ should lie on algebraic varieties largely independent of F .* This could explain why Γ -factors of L -functions have special shape.

• **Some details for step 2.**

$\gamma(s)$ virtual γ -factor. Computation from definition of d_ℓ shows:

$$\forall \ell \exists P_\ell, Q_\ell \in \mathbb{R}[x] \text{ s.t. } d_\ell = \begin{cases} P_\ell(\mu) & (H\text{-case}) \\ Q_\ell(\kappa) & (M\text{-case}). \end{cases}$$

If $\gamma(s)$ associated to L -function, then (11) holds for such d_ℓ . But this is polyn. eq. in μ or κ , and $\exists \infty$ -many μ (weights) and κ (eigenvalues). So (11) holds identically in μ or κ , hence d_1 determines all d_ℓ for virtual γ -factors as well.

Hence χ_γ determines $h_\gamma(s)$ and step 2 follows.

• **Some details for step 3.**

Let $z = x + iy$, $y > 0$. Start with Mellin's transf. and use funct. eq. (6) in step 2 \rightarrow express $f(iy)$ as (roughly)

$$\int_{(c)} \Gamma(s) \frac{\gamma(1-s+\lambda)}{\gamma(s-\lambda)} R(1-s) F(1-s+\lambda) (2\pi y)^{-s} ds,$$

$\gamma(s)$ - virtual γ -factor of F , $R(s) = S_F(s)/S_\gamma(s)$.

Hecke case (Maass case simpler thanks to shape of virtual $\gamma(s)$). Using

$$S_F(s) = -2\omega_F \cos(\pi s) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} a_j e^{i\pi\omega_j s},$$

after expansion of F + manipulations get (roughly)

$$f(iy) = y^{-\mu-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) J\left(\frac{2\pi n}{y}\right) + Q(iy) \quad (12)$$

where

$$J(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(1+\delta)} \frac{1}{\cos(\pi s) \Gamma(1-s-\mu)} w^{-s} dw,$$

and

$$Q(z) \text{ holom. } -\pi(1-\omega_{N-1}) < \arg(z) < \pi.$$

Moreover

$$J(w) = -\frac{w^{-1/2}}{\pi} E_\beta(w) \quad (13)$$

with $E_\beta(w)$ Mittag-Leffler function with $\beta = 1/2 - \mu$, satisfying

$$E_\beta(w) = \kappa_0 e^{-w} w^{1-\beta} + I_\beta(w), \quad (14)$$

$I_\beta(w)$ holom. on \mathbb{C} with suitable cut.

But first term of (14) with $w = 2\pi n/y$ rebuilds $f(i/y)$, so from (12)-(14) arrive to

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z^{-2\mu-1} f(-1/z) + Q(z) + \text{holom.} \\ &= z^{-2\mu-1} f(-1/z) + \psi(z), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$\psi(z)$ holom. $-\pi(1 - \omega_{N-1}) < \arg(z) < \pi$.

Moreover, from (15) + 1-periodicity of $f(z)$ get three-term funct. eq. and then continuation of $\psi(z)$ and $Q(z)$ to $|\arg(z)| < \pi$.

REMARK. Actually, continuation of $Q(z)$ to any sector larger than $-\pi(1 - \omega_{N-1}) < \arg(z) < \pi$ enough to conclude proof (will see in next step).

• **Some details for step 4.**

By contradiction, assume $R(s) \neq \text{const.}$, so

$$N \geq 3 \text{ and } \omega_{N-1} > 0 \text{ by (7).}$$

Recall $Q(z)$ sum of integrals over $j = 1, \dots, N-1$ and accordingly write

$$Q(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{N-2} Q_j(z) + Q_{N-1}(z).$$

Then (roughly):

$$\text{sum holom. } -\pi(1 - \omega_{N-2}) < \arg(z) < \pi;$$

$$Q_{N-1}(z) \text{ rebuilds to } f(e^{i\pi(1-\omega_{N-1})}z).$$

But $Q(z)$ holom. $|\arg(z)| < \pi$ and $\omega_{N-2} < \omega_{N-1}$, hence

$$f(z) \text{ holom. } -\delta\pi < \arg(z) < \pi \text{ with } \delta > 0,$$

therefore $f(z)$ entire by 1-periodicity, thus $f \equiv 0$, contradiction.